

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/348,575	07/07/1999	CARMELO ZACCONE	Q55017	5420	
7	590 12/30/2002				
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 200373213			EXAMINER		
			ABELSON, RONALD B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

2666
DATE MAILED: 12/30/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

11

••			_		11		
•		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	1/6		
		09/348,57	5	ZACCONE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Ronald Ab	elson	2666			
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	ntion appears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence addr	ess		
A SHO THE N - Extens after S - If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	PRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION STATE OF THE AILING THE PROPERTY OF THE AILING THE PROPERTY OF THE AILING THE PROPERTY OF THE AILING T	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ication. lays, a reply within the statul ory period will apply and will l, by statute, cause the appli	ort, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this com 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>12/11/02</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is ı	non-final.				
3)□ Dispositio	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	or allowance except e under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	for formal matters, pro ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the 53 O.G. 213.	merits is		
4) 🖾	Claim(s) $1-15$ is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from con	sideration.				
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are allowed.						
6) 🗌	Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 10-15</u> is/are rejected.				٠		
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6-8</u> is/are objected to						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	quirement.				
Application—	on Papers						
·	he specification is objected to by the E						
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 1999</u> is/		•				
400-	Applicant may not request that any object			, ,			
11)[] [he proposed drawing correction filed of	· ·		ved by the Examiner.			
40\[]_T	If approved, corrected drawings are requi		ce action.				
,	he oath or declaration is objected to by	y tne Examiner.					
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
• -	All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority do						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) 🗌 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).		
	☐ The translation of the foreign langucknowledgment is made of a claim for						
Attachment(s)	•					
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s). atent Application (PTO-			

Art Unit: 2666

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dobbins (US 5,751,971).

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Dobbins teaches a method and apparatus for an Internet forwarding method (fig. 2), for forwarding internet packets from a host connected to the internet (fig. 2 box 16) towards a destination host (DH) (fig. 2 box 14, col. 5 lines 47 - 52), see also claims 14, connected to a private internet network (PNW), where said internet network (INW) and the PNW are coupled through at least one edge router (fig. 2 box 11), and where the DH is assigned a global internet address (col. 2 lines 41 - 57) characterized in that the forwarding of the internet packets is based only on the global internet address (directed broadcast address, Dobbins: col. 4 lines 12-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/348,575 Page 3

Art Unit: 2666

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobbins in view of Speakman (US 6,389,475) and Sosa.

Regarding claim 14, in addition to the limitations listed in claim 1, Dobbins teaches a router (fig. 2 box 12A,B) for use in a private internet network (fig. 2 box 11), internet packets being forwarded (col. 5 lines 47-48) from a destination host (fig. 2 box 13A) of said private internet network towards a host connected to the network or vice versa (fig. 2 Rest of Internet), said private internet network comprising at least one said router (fig. 2 box 12A,B) and at least one destination host (fig. 2 box 13A,B), each coupled to one of said at least one router and wherein said destination host is assigned a global internet address (col. 4 lines 15-15), said private network being coupled to said internet network through at least one edge

Art Unit: 2666

router (fig. 2 box 15). The router (fig. 2 box 12A,B) comprises a message reception means, adapted to receive a multicast message containing said global internet address (fig. 3 element 35, col. 6 lines 40-45), see also claims 10, 13, 15.

Dobbins is silent on a multicast group updating means.

Speakman teaches a multicast group updating means, adapted to interpret the multicast message containing a global internet address and update a multicast group in order to establish a branch of a multicast tree (constructed and altered, col. 4 lines 19-24), see also claim 15.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having both Dobbins and Speakman before him/her and with the teachings [a] as shown by Dobbins, a router for use in a private internet network, internet packets being forwarded from a destination host of said private internet network towards a host connected to the network or vice versa, said private internet network comprising at least one said router and at least one destination host, each coupled to one of said at least one router and wherein said destination host is assigned a global internet address, said private network being coupled to said internet network through at least one edge router. The router comprises a message reception means, adapted to receive a multicast message containing said global internet

Art Unit: 2666

address, and [b] as shown by Speakman, a multicast group updating means, adapted to interpret the multicast message containing a global internet address and update a multicast group in order to establish a branch of a multicast tree, to be motivated to modify the system of Dobbins by having the source (Dobbins: fig. 2 Rest of Internet) transmit multicast messages using IGMP (Speakman: col. 4 lines 15-16). This would improve the system by allowing a destination host to receive information without duplication of effort and without excess use of multicast addresses (col. 1 lines 41-52).

The combination of Dobbins and Speakman is silent on a multicast message forwarding means.

Sosa teaches a multicast message forwarding means / SMDS (pg. 1060 col. 2 lines 22-28).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having both the combination of Dobbins and Speakman and Sosa before him/her and with the teachings [a] as shown by the combination of Dobbins and Speakman, a router for use in a private internet network, internet packets being forwarded from a destination host of said private internet network towards a host connected to the network or vice versa, said private internet network comprising at least one said router and at least one destination host, each coupled to one of

Art Unit: 2666

Page 6

said at least one router and wherein said destination host is assigned a global internet address, said private network being coupled to said internet network through at least one edge router, a message reception means, adapted to receive a multicast message containing said global internet address, and a multicast group updating means, adapted to interpret the multicast message containing a global internet address and update a multicast group in order to establish a branch of a multicast tree, and [b] as shown by Sosa, a multicast message forwarding means / SMDS, to be motivated to modify the system of the combination of Dobbins and Speakman by adding the group addressing feature of SMDS to the forwarded packets. This modification can be performed in software. This would improve the system by providing a method for distributing routing updates and for file server discovery (pg. 1060 col. 2 lines 27-28).

Regarding claim 15, as previously stated in claim 1, Dobbins teaches an edge router (fig. 2 box 15).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, in addition to the limitations listed in claim 15, Speakman teaches a message reception and interpretation means adapted to receive a Resource Reservation Protocol message (protocol messages, col. 4 lines 19-24), a

Art Unit: 2666

routing table updating means (fig. 2 box 250, col. 5 lines 59-65), and a message forwarding means (col. 5 lines 45-56).

Regarding claims 10 and 13, in addition to the limitations listed in claim 11, Dobbins teaches an assignment detection means and adjacent router notification means (echo request/reply, col. 4 lines 34-36).

Regarding claim 13, in addition to the limitations listed in claim 10, Speakman teaches a multicast subscription means (multicast distribution tree, col. 4 lines 19-24).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 9 is allowed.
- 6. Claims 2-4, and 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claims 2, 6, and 9 nothing in the prior art of record teaches or fairly suggests a router daemon in combination with the other limitations of the claims. Regarding claims 3 and 7 nothing in the prior art of record teaches or fairly suggests notifying each router by sending a

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/348,575

Art Unit: 2666

protocol message at assignment of a global Internet address in combination with the other limitations of the claims. Regarding claims 4 and 8, nothing in the prior art of record teaches or fairly suggests the edge router setting up the multicast groups in combination with the other limitations of the claims.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 12/11/02, regarding claims 1 and 5, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant states "there is no unique/global IP address for the hosts 14 in Dobbins" (applicant pg. 13 lines 20-21) and "in Dobbins, it would be impossible to use the IP address alone to forward a packet from an edge router to a destination host" (applicant pg. 14 lines 1-2). The examiner disagrees; in the case of a broadcast message, all hosts (Dobbins: fig. 1 box 14) would be notified using the global IP address alone (directed broadcast address, Dobbins: col. 4 lines 12-15). The applicant refers to the work group identifier being required (applicant pg. 14 lines 12-15). However, work group identifiers are not required for broadcast messages.
- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 9

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Abelson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5622. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (703) 308-5463. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Ronald Abelson Examiner Art Unit 2666

* * *

December 23, 2002

DANG TON PRIMARY EXAMINER